Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/052,061	OLIVAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David H Kruse	1638
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>David H Kruse</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael R. Ward</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2004	Time: <u>PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 1,3 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. // A CM		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner in an interview on 12 April 2004 with Applicant's representative, Michael R. Ward, the examiner informed Applicant of an error in the amendment filed 21 July 2003, and of suggested changes to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner received a supplemental amendment by fax on 12 April 2004 and a correction on 13 April 2004, which was sent for scanning. Applicant should respond to this interview summary confirming the submission of the Supplemental Amendment on 12 April 2004 and the correction on 13 April 2004.

Warre Holmen